

Access to the Built Environment; is it improving?

Disability Discrimination Act 1995.

British Standard 8300 - 2001, Design of Buildings and Approaches to meet the needs of Disabled People – Code of Practice.

British Standard 5588 pt 8 1999 edition Means of Escape for Disabled People.

Presentation by Paul Day MA for Leeds University Dept of Sociology and Social Policy 11th March 2005

Introduction

There have been several attempts to produce UK legislation which was intended to address physical barriers to disabled people in the built environment, despite this until relatively recently access for disabled people to buildings, work and leisure was not a right under UK law. Much of the access to services and employment in the past has relied on the goodwill of the providers. Existing legislation that covered access to buildings until recently need not take full account of all the needs of disabled people.

The most recent enacted UK legislation that attempts to address both civil rights for disabled people and access to services and employment is the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (DDA). This is an enabling Act making provision for extensive regulations to be made with several sections and associated detail being phased in over a number of years. Whilst this Act of Parliament has the potential to address some of the concerns of the Disability Rights Movement with regards to civil rights for disabled people, there is no certainty that it will. Ongoing research and evaluation is necessary to assess how effectively The DDA and other related statutory legislation and guidance documents are being implemented:

‘ Most Western nations have now enacted laws and codes that aim to improve the physical accessibility of cities for all users (Imrie, 1996b). Such regulations recognise the specific (and long ignored) mobility needs of disabled people (Napolitano, 1996). While a considerable evaluation literature has emerged within Western nations to assess aspects of these legislative initiatives, such as policy coherence and the adequacy and consistency of design standards, there has been little social theoretical analysis of how these regulatory regimes have fared in practise. In particular, the issues of regulatory compliance, and the political economic context for this, have rarely been addressed’ (Gleeson 1999 pg 173).

The Disability Discrimination Act 1995

The 'Disability Discrimination Act 1995' may possess the potential for positive change but gives only general guidance as to what might be required to fully meet the requirements of the Act. The provision within the Act for regulations that might fill in the detail and in particular to address what is reasonable under the DDA is not forthcoming for all areas of the Act. What is reasonable in most cases will be left to the courts to decide, using as guidance the Codes of Practice issued by the Disability Rights Commission. Whilst numerous guidance documents and a number of Codes of Practice have been published the Act relies heavily on case law for its direction. An important aspect of the legislation often misunderstood by both service providers and users is that this is civil law that gives an individual the right to take action against an organization if the disabled person feels they have been discriminated against by the organization because of their impairment. The Act is not enforced by any Local or National Government Body taking legal action against an organization but relies on individuals taking private legal action.

It was recognized in a report by the Disability Rights Task Force issued in December 1999 'From Exclusion To Inclusion' (one) that 'The lack of an enforcement body responsible for ensuring compliance with disability rights legislation was perhaps one of the greatest flaws in the DDA' From this report the government responded with a white paper 'Promoting Disabled Peoples Rights: Creating a Disability Rights Commission fit for the 21st Century' This resulted in the Disability Rights Commission Bill receiving Royal Assent in July 1999 and the Disability Rights Commission being established in April 2000. The Disability Rights Commission is an overshadowing body that oversees and shepherds the Act and may assist in giving advice and offering conciliation between a disabled person and an organization or person alleged to have discriminated against that person but they cannot take independent direct legal action against an organization or person.

The DDA has no specific technical compliance document as it is intended that the duties will evolve as the courts determine cases. With no compliance document it also allows the requirements of the DDA to change inline with changes in national best practice guidelines with regard to disability, so as time passes these may be encompassed naturally into the embrace of the Act. To give an example of the consequence of this approach. As there is no certification process it is possible that initially a service provider could defend a court challenge successfully with regard to reasonableness of provision. At some later stage if new research or advice relative to the provisions tested in court is published. The case could be re-

challenged and a different judgment reached that finds the same provisions inadequate.

There are relationships between Building Regulations Part M (two) (control of certain aspects of access design in buildings) and the Workplace - Health, Safety and welfare Regulation 1992, the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 and the Disability Discrimination (Employment) Regulations 1996 (three).

The controls over improving access to the built environment were very limited via Approved Document M of the Building Regulations (1999 edition). On May 1st 2004 a new edition of Approved Document M was released that substantially increased the scope and requirements for accessible design in the built environment. The earlier edition of Approved Document M offered very little in the way of control of building works to improve access to existing buildings. The control was greatest for completely new buildings. The 2004 edition places much more building work undertaken to existing buildings under the control of Approved Document M. This affords a greater chance for gradual improvements to our existing building stock that forms the majority of our built environment. Although this looks to be a positive move it is not without problems of application and interpretation. There are many aspects to the relationships between the associated pieces of legislation that are still unfolding. To follow the guidance within the approved document M is not a requirement for satisfying duties under 6 (employment) and 21 (service provision) of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 to make adjustments to premises. In the 1999 edition of Approved Document M there was an exemption under regulation 8 of Disability Discrimination (employment) Regulations 1996 in that an employer of 15 or more employees would not be required to alter any physical characteristic included in a building that was adopted with a view to satisfying the requirements of Part M and met those requirements at the time of the building works. This exemption only applied up to 30th September 2004. From 1st October 2004 the exemption in the 1996 Regulations ceases to apply and there are some changes to the duty to make reasonable adjustments and coverage is extended to all employees (irrespective of number of employees). Depending on the nature of the organisation concerned, the revised duty of reasonable adjustment is set out in sections 4A, 4B(5), 4B(6), 4E, 6B, 7B, 7D, 14, 14B, 14D and 16A (5) of the DDA as amended by the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (Amendment) Regulations 2003 (SI 2003/1673) (four).

The latest DDA code of practice for rights of access to goods, facilities and services states that any element of a building works that has been constructed to the current Approved Document M Standard that was in force when the works

were undertaken, that element will not have to be removed or altered for 10 years from the date of its completion. This exemption only applies to building works undertaken after October 1st 1994. The exemption allows a 10 year period where adjustments will not have to be made to any physical feature (from when it was constructed or installed) that accords with the relevant objectives, design considerations and provisions in the 1992 or 1999 editions of Approved Document M subject to whichever was the effective edition when the works were undertaken. This exemption at present does not apply to the 2004 edition of Approved Document M. Extending the exemption to cover this document is an option left open to the Government, so far this option has not been taken up. As the extension of the exemption has not been announced before 1st May 2004 when the new 2004 edition of Approved Document M came into force it might prove very difficult to consider an existing buildings accessibility and any legal action brought under the DDA in terms of remembering what applies when and proving this in court. This will be particularly so where various building works has been undertaken to a building at different time periods when different editions of the Approved Document M applied.

The Disability Discrimination Act defines who will be deemed disabled for the purposes of the Act (three) and covers a number of areas including.

- **Employment**
- **Access to goods and services**
- **Buying or Renting land or property**
- **Education through ‘The Special Educational Needs and Disability Act 2001’ that amends section 28 of the DDA to cover pupils and students at schools colleges and universities**
- **The Act also allows the Government to set minimum standards to allow disabled people to use public transport.**

The implementation of these sections is being phased in over a number of years with relevant general guidance being released accordingly. The first section implemented was Employment in 1996 and there have been numerous court decisions within this area of the Act. From these decisions it is becoming possible to form an understanding of how the courts will view future cases with regard to discrimination of disabled people within employment. The Disability Rights Commission has published details of many of these court decisions. The section

of the DDA covering access to goods facilities and services has now been fully implemented. There is a requirement to:

- Provide reasonable adjustments to policies, procedures or practice that exclude disabled people (now enabled).
- Provide auxiliary aids and services to enable or to make it easier to use a service and where there is a physical barrier to a service, provide a reasonable alternative method of delivering the service (enabled 1999).
- The final stage of this section was implemented in Oct 2004. Where a physical feature makes it impossible or unreasonably difficult to make use of that service, service providers will have to take reasonable steps to remove, alter or avoid it if the service cannot be provided by a reasonable alternative method.

With the service provision element of the DDA only fully implemented in Oct 2004 there has been little in the way of case law to fully evaluate what is likely to be seen as a 'reasonable step' to remove, alter or adjust a physical feature. Whilst there is limited case law on physical adaptations there has been a number of court cases taken by individuals regarding attitudes towards a persons impairment that has restricted or prevented a disabled person accessing a service. Some of the decisions by the courts have found that the service provider had been judged to be operating in a discriminatory manner. A number of examples of these can be viewed on the Disability Rights Commission Web site with a summary of the court or tribunals findings and recommendations/rulings. Many cases have never reached the courts with the Disability Rights Commission arbitrating between the interested parties before the matter reached the courts.

With the dawning recognition of a need to shift attitudes and perceptions and with new legal powers of enforcement there comes a need for major change on a practical level. Part of this practical change is the need for many groups of professionals with influence on decision making for the built environment to begin to look at their own fields of influence. Part of this process is to evaluate how practical change for decision makers is to be guided by existing and future nationally recognized publications which may include: Acts of Parliament, British Standards, Disability Rights Commission Guidelines, Building Regulations, Code of Practice etc. At the moment many of the publications linked to access guidance are in the process of change or have recently been amended. This is all occurring within a relatively short period of time. The fast pace of change has been noticeable when compared with the previous slow pace of change of access

legislation and guidance before the release of the Disability Discrimination Act in 1995. Whilst the information available is much more far reaching and detailed than anything previously available, many of the publications are still only best practice guidelines and not statutory requirements at this moment in time.

It seems the intention is for the courts to refer to issued Codes of Practice for more detailed guidance for factors to consider in making any legal judgments. The Codes of Practice clearly state that to follow their guidelines does not automatically grant immunity from prosecution. Other than the Codes of Practice the DDA has no specific compliance document; the duties required by the DDA will evolve as the courts determine cases. Therefore the implementation of the DDA will be a difficult to predict route of change with no clear idea of how effective the implementation will be and what might be the end result.

'...anti-discrimination legislation is only a means to an end and cannot by itself, provide all the solutions to removing the barriers to disabled peoples full participation in society. As Mike Oliver points out, legislation is only the starting point. In many ways it is the process of implementation which will be the most important (and potentially, the most difficult) challenge to ending discrimination in practice' (Zarb 1995 pg 8).

Codes of Practice

The Codes of Practice issued by the Disability Rights Commission have been written to provide more detailed advice and examples as to what people might be expected to put into place to comply with the Act in its different areas of operation. There have been more than one Code of Practice and numerous guidance documents released but these have possibly created as many questions as they have answered which has led to further guidance being published. The Most current Code of Practice was released May 27th 2002. The information so far released has tended to have limited practical guidance for real life scenarios the examples only covering a restricted range of examples.

As the Disability Discrimination Act does not overrule any primary legislation there may be potential for difficulties in interpretation and practical implementation of the DDA when married with other statutory requirements. The most recent Code of Practice tries to give some guidance on this. For example in the extract below it explores considerations on how a person's Health and Safety should be measured against any requirements of the Disability Discrimination Act with particular reference to fire evacuation.

- 7.11 *The Act does not require a service provider to do anything that would endanger the health or safety of any person. A service provider can justify less favourable treatment or a failure to make an adjustment if it is necessary in order not to endanger the health or safety of any person, including the disabled person in question.*
- 7.12 *The justification cannot apply unless the service provider reasonably believes that the treatment is necessary in order not to endanger the health or safety of any person. Health or safety reasons which are based on generalisations and stereotyping of disabled people provide no defence. For example, fire regulations should not be used as an excuse to place unnecessary restrictions on wheelchair users based on the assumption that wheelchair users would be an automatic hazard in a fire. It is for the management of the establishment concerned, with advice from the licensing authority or local fire officer, to make any special provision needed. Service providers should ensure that any action taken in relation to health or safety is proportionate to the risk. There must be a balance between protecting against the risk and restricting disabled people from using the service. Disabled people are entitled to make the same choices and to take the same risks within the same limits as other people.*
- 7.13 *As indicated in paragraph 7.11 above, before a service provider relies on health or safety to justify less favourable treatment of a disabled person, it should consider whether a reasonable adjustment could be made which would allow the disabled person to access the service without concerns for health or safety. Similarly, if health or safety is used to justify a failure to make a particular reasonable adjustment, the service provider should consider whether there is any alternative adjustment that could be made to allow the disabled person to use the service.*

(DDA Code of Practise 2002)

The thrust of the DDA appears to be towards improving civil and physical rights of access for disabled individuals. Turning the theory into practical reality may prove to be a long and torturous road. In some cases to provide acceptable solutions for removing physical barriers to allow full access may prove very difficult if not impossible to achieve in parts of the existing building stock. An area of consideration that often falls into this difficult category is fire evacuation. There is only one primary National Standard of Reference for means of escape of disabled people which is part 8 of British Standard 5588 (five) this covers a number of fire safety related issues with regard to planning for the safe evacuation

of disabled people from a building in the event of an emergency. As access into a building is improved the application of strategies for the safe egress for disabled people from some buildings is becoming more difficult for the managers of buildings to understand and accommodate. Many existing buildings are being adapted for improved access to allow entry into large areas of buildings that were previously totally inaccessible to many disabled people. This new building access brings a constant need to also address the problems of egress to ensure the full safety of all users of a building. It can often be the case that planning for safe egress of disabled people from a building is more difficult to accommodate than safe access into the building. In stating the above it is important to make clear that for the majority of buildings if there is an informed property management with the right attitude to engage and look at all solutions and possibilities there is little that cannot be achieved to open up most facilities for everyone.

In response to the Disability Rights Task Force final report *From Exclusion to Inclusion* the Government has introduced a number of changes and amendments to the Disability Discrimination Act 1995. These include:

The creation of the Disability Rights Commission in April 2000 established as an independent voice for disabled people

The Special Educational Needs and Disability Act 2001 extending the DDA to cover the provision of Education

The Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (amendment) Regulations 2003 bringing more than 1 million additional employers and approx 7 million jobs within the embrace of the DDA from October 2004

Goods, facilities and service providers to consider removal of physical features that act as barriers to disabled people accessing their services

Several measures that cover the accessibility of certain forms of transport

The remainder of the recommendations from the report are seen by the Government as being addressed within the new Disability Discrimination Bill.

On the horizon this new Bill allows for amendments to the Disability Discrimination Act. The Disability Discrimination Bill will amongst other things extend the powers of the DDA to impose corporate responsibility on all public sector authorities to promote disability equality. The Bill was introduced into the House of Lords on 25th November 2004 and received its second reading on 6th

December 2004. The Bill is in the consultation stage from 16th December 2004 until March 18th 2005 and the Disability Rights Commission are undertaking National conferences and inviting comment on the draft Statutory codes until 22nd April. The intended time scales for the new Bill are that the Code of Practice to be published by December 2005 and the Bill becomes Law Dec 2006. In theory the proposals will introduce detailed requirements to extend rights and opportunities for disabled people from some of the largest service providers and employers within the UK. The section of the new Bill that is most likely to have some bearing on the inaccessibility of the built environment is the due regard Public bodies must have to 'encourage participation by disabled persons in public life'. Other main clauses within the consultation document include:

Extending the DDA to cover the provision of transport vehicles including control of end dates for certain types of vehicle accessibility

Extending the DDA to cover all functions of public bodies

Removing the definition requirement within the DDA the requirement for mental illnesses to be clinically recognised to be covered under the ACT

DDA extension of protection to disabled councillors

Bring private clubs with more than 25 members within the embrace of the ACT

Extend rights under the DDA to people with HIV, MS, and cancer from the point at which the condition is diagnosed

Require landlords to make reasonable adjustments to policies practices and procedures, and to provide auxiliary aids and services, to ensure disabled tenants can use and enjoy their property

Extend an existing procedure to help disabled people ask questions about alleged discrimination

Amend the 'Blue Badge' parking scheme by ensuring reciprocity with other European states.

1999 - BS 5588 Part 8 'Means of Escape for Disabled People.'

Approved document M of the Building Regulations states that *'the scope of Part M and AD M is limited to matters of access to, into, and use of, a building. It does not extend to means of escape for disabled people in the event of a fire, for which reference should be made to Approved Document B – 'Fire Safety'.*

Approved document B of the building regulations covering fire precautions in buildings does not cover practical details of the management of evacuation of disabled people from a building. Part B emphasises the importance of management arrangements as a potential solution to provide assisted escape for disabled people, for practical details approved document B refers to BS5588: part 8. This British Standard is not a statutory document but gives guidance and recommendations in the design and management of buildings (other than residential) to allow safe evacuation of disabled people in the event of a fire. The BS was updated in 1999 and made significant new recommendations giving useful, if not all covering advice that is still filtering through to practical application. Plans were made to supersede this edition and look at incorporating it into BS 9999 – 2. This proposed new BS was released in draft form to potentially supersede the following Code of Practises from the BS5588 series: parts 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11. The changes would introduce a much more complex guidance document based primarily on the concepts of fire-engineered and individually tailored solutions in fire safety for specific buildings moving away from the Approved Document B more prescriptive approach. My present understanding regarding this new document is that for the time being it has been decided to shelve this change. Other premises not covered by BS 5588 Pt 8 include health care premises and those premises purpose built to house disabled people and also single family dwelling houses, flats and maisonettes and buildings used as a house in multiple occupation. In addition to this British Standard there are a number of additional separate guides for means of escape of disabled people in buildings of a specialised nature, for example hospitals which use HTM81 *Fire precautions in new hospitals, 1996*, HTM85 *Fire precautions in existing hospitals, 1994*.

The BS5588 part 8 states:

'As a code of practise, this British Standard take the form of guidance and recommendations. It should not be quoted as if it were a specification and particular care should be taken to ensure that claims of compliance are not misleading'

The code includes people with hearing or sight loss as well as those with mobility difficulties. It is the intention of the British Standard to give prescriptive

guidelines that will prevent disabled people being refused admission to venues. This approach should complement the aims of the DDA in improving access to buildings.

Unfortunately there are gaps in the advice when considering the many differing types of buildings, locations and scenarios. There are shortcomings in the guidance given beyond giving good overall principles of escape management for disabled people. An example of omissions from the guidance is the safe evacuation of disabled visitors from basement areas where escape involves climbing escape routes. Many practical scenarios and possible solutions are often absent leaving Building Managers, Access Officers, Building Control Officers and Fire Officers having to attempt to achieve acceptable solutions for evacuation of disabled people from certain building types with no nationally agreed standard. Fire evacuation planning is closely linked to the intention of the Disability Discrimination Act to ensure there is no restriction of disabled people entering and using a building. BS 5588 pt 8 stresses that:

‘Non compliance with all the recommendations of this code should not be used as grounds for excluding disabled people’.

Considering this statement the managers of a building cannot allow a situation to arise where the safety of users is compromised. All possible scenarios and solutions to provide safe egress have to be explored before a fire evacuation procedure is finally written down for any building that incorporates the needs of all users.

From my experience of looking at egress provision in buildings for disabled users at a practical level there is a major deficiency in the present approach to escape strategies. This is that in many cases from floors above or below ground floor level the escape of disabled people from the building may rely heavily on other people’s assistance. For several reasons this can often be the most problematic area. An example might be if a disabled person who would need assistance to escape a building wishes to work on his or her own in an upper storey of a multi-storey building. Whilst there are often solutions to these problems if all avenues are explored there are also cases where there seems to be no acceptable solution.

BS 8300 2001 *Design of buildings and their approaches to meet the needs of disabled people – code of practise. (six)*

It is worth noting that BS 5810 (seven) has now been withdrawn (*access for the disabled to buildings*). This was one of the first truly practical guidance

documents for improving the design of the built environment for disabled people. This was released in 1956 and was very limited in its coverage of overcoming physical barriers to access. The newer British Standard 8300 has the most comprehensive up to date practical guidelines to making the built environment accessible to disabled people ever produced in the form of a nationally recognised document. BS 5810 was long left behind by demand for improvements because of its very limited application containing only 24 pages. The replacement BS8300 has 167 pages covering a vast range of different design standards to improve access to the built environment including many considerations for sensory impairments that have been lacking in previous guidance notes. The new British Standard is very detailed in the areas it offers advice and covers a large variety of practical design solutions.

It is important to recognise both the origins of this document and its legal limitations with regard to meeting obligations under both the DDA and Building Regulations, the forward to this new British standard states:

The design recommendations in this British Standard are, where relevant, based on user trials and validated desk studies which formed part of a research project commissioned in 1997 and 2001 by the Department of the Environment and the Regions. The scope of this research was based on recommendations included in PD 6523, which concluded that the guidance with respect to the access needs of disabled people was incomplete, in some instances contradictory and, on the whole, not based on validated research.

BS 8300:2001 Foreword page v

‘As a code of practise, this British Standard takes the form of guidance and recommendations. It should not be quoted as if it were a specification and particular care should be taken to ensure that claims of compliance are not misleading’ and also ‘Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligation’ (BS8300 2001 pg vi).

There is no specific mention within the British Standard 8300 or any of the Disability Discrimination Act guidance documents as to how this new British Standard will interrelate with the Disability Discrimination Act. As there is no direct written linkage between the DDA and BS8300 it is not made fully clear how the BS8300 relates to the Disability Discrimination Act. It could be argued that for many aspects of access design at this point in time the BS8300 is the most current and up-to-date nationally recognised standard for improvements to the built environment for disabled people. Therefore if relevant this is the most likely standard people should be looking to for guidance as to what they should be doing to address their obligations under the DDA with regard to physical adaptations.

The 2004 Edition Approved Document M that was implemented in May 2004 has adopted many of the BS8300 standards and in some cases the standards contained in the Approved Document M are higher than those contained within the British Standard. The British Standard Institute have worked with The Office of the Deputy Prime minister and acknowledged that some parts of the guidance has been found to have some practical problems in implementation. The British Standard Institute is due to soon release an amendment to the BS8300. Starting April 2005 they will begin a full review of the BS8300 document.

Conclusion

It can be observed that change is occurring quite rapidly with regard to guidance on access to the built environment and there are an unabated stream of continually changing interrelationships between the various pieces of legislation related to disability, the whole process is in a state of gel. There have been a number of prominent people within the Disability Rights Movement state deep reservations regarding the direction that the legislation is leading. Indeed the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 is seen by many disabled people as inherently flawed, Barnes (1998) gave a speech in Sweden in which discussing weaknesses within the Disability Discrimination Act stated

'... the 1995 Disability Discrimination Act in the UK is, to say the least, a mistake' (Barnes 1998).

One of the key issues highlighted in his speech was the use of the Medical Definition of Disability (eight). The question of causality being linked to impairment and not the way society is organised. Because of this definition being adopted he envisaged many disabled people not receiving any benefit or protection under the legislation. Since Barnes made his speech in 1998 there has been a number of changes in the guidelines relevant to the Disability Discrimination Act and proposed changes to associated legislation controlling access to the built environment but the definitions have remained the same. As previously stated there have been numerous guides and booklets released by central government through the Disability Rights Commission to advise on all matters related to the DDA. These various publications have attempted to explain and clarify the current and future position of the Act with regard to its implementation. Although there has been guidance attempting to show how the DDA can co-exist effectively with existing legislation, the reality is that there are still difficulties in finding acceptable solutions to real life situations. There is still potential for conflict between ensuring disabled people are not discriminated against and ensuring compliance with other legislation. It appears that the fine detail of such

considerations has not been fully thought through to practical solutions. This may well prove to be an area of the evolution of the Act, which will form a major part of future case law. In the mean time service providers, access specialists and disabled people are left in a state of uncertainty as to what exactly is an acceptable and 'reasonable' practical solution for all situations to meet all requirements.

It might be argued that the legislation is at present in a state of what might be defined as 'controlled chaos'. When you consider the way legislation has been formulated to address disability access issues in the last 10-15 year's it is easy to see how this potential confusion is developing.

The historical lack of a nationally recognised, meaningful, unifying, comprehensive access design document was always one of the major obstacles to creating nationally consistent access standards. In recent years there has been a swing of the pendulum to the other extreme with a flood of numerous physical access guidance documents either being newly published or existing documents in the process of being changed. Moving from a position of limited design guidance we are now in the position of being overwhelmed by access design guidance. As the guidance documents do not all give the same advice on similar features there are immediate difficulties of interpretation and deciding which is the most appropriate guidance to use. Even for those who are seeking to be proactive in bringing about positive change at present it is difficult to keep abreast of the continual changes to accepted standards of design. For those who are dragging their feet it does nothing to encourage a change of attitude. The previous Building Regulations 1999 edition of Approved Document M was not adequate to make completely suitable and effective change to the entire built environment for both new and existing building stock. The new 2004 edition of Approved Document M substantially improves this situation but is not an all-covering control of accessibility in the built environment. Therefore the DDA at present creates an element of doubt as to what might be deemed the most appropriate standards to be working to for DDA compliance and what will be accepted as reasonable adjustments if Legal actions are taken. There are numerous specialist guides for all manner of service provision but they do not all say the same thing.

The effectiveness of the DDA could be hampered by the lack of clarity in deciding what is the most reasonable and appropriate way might be to comply and how the enforcement of the DDA unfolds through any court rulings could theoretically slow down the pace of change.

Returning to the quote from Zarb (1995), he stated an important part of how the legislation evolves is how, effectively it is implemented and the implementation will lean heavily on people's perceptions and attitudes towards disability. This will be the crucial deciding factor in how quickly real change will occur for the full inclusion of disabled people within society.

Bibliography

Disability Discrimination Act 1995, '**Code of Practice, Rights of Access Goods, Facilities, Services and Premises**' May 27th 2002 Disability Rights Commission, Stratford Upon Avon

Gleeson B (1999) **Geographies of Disability**, Routledge London

Zarb Z (1995) **Removing Disabling Barriers**. Policy Studies Institute, London

Internet sources

Barnes C (1998) **Discrimination and the law- the British Experience**, Conference on legislation for human rights Stockholm, Sweden, 24 August 1998, www.independentliving.org/docs1/hr6.html

Disability Rights Commission www.drc-gb.org

Her Majesties Stationary Office, Statutory Instruments
www.legislation.hmso.gov.uk/stat.htm

Main documents referred to regarding access for disabled people

(One) **From Exclusion To Inclusion**, December 1999, Department for Education and Employment, www.disability.gov.uk

(two) **The Building Regulations 1999 (superceded and withdrawn) and 2004 Edition, Approved Document M, Access to and use of buildings**. Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. The Stationary Office, PO Box 29, Norwich, NR3 1GN

(three) **Disability Discrimination (Employment) Regulations 1996 (SI 1996/1456)** HMSO Publications Centre, PO Box 276, London, SW8 5DT.

(four) **Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (Amendment) Regulations 2003** (SI 2003/1673). HMSO Publications Centre, PO Box 276, London, SW8 5DT.

(five) **British Standard 5588: part 8: 1999**, Fire precautions in the design, construction and use of buildings – Part 8: Code of practise for means of escape for disabled people. British Standards Institution, 389 Chiswick High Road, London, W4 4AL, ISBN 0 580 28262 7.

(six) **British Standard 8300 Design of buildings and their approaches to meet the needs of disabled people – Code of practise**. British Standards Institution, 389 Chiswick High Road, London, W4 4AL. ISBN 058038438 1

(seven) **British Standard 5810 Code of practise for Access for the disabled to Buildings**. British Standards Institution, 389 Chiswick High Road, London, W4 4AL. ISBN 0 580 10977 1. (This document has been superceded and withdrawn)

(eight) **The Disability Discrimination Act, Definition of Disability**, DL60 From October 1996, HMSO Publications Centre, PO Box 276, London, SW8 5DT. General Guidance booklet on the definition of disability.